

Pseudogroup structures on Spencer manifolds

Stancho Dimiev

e-mail address: sdimiev@math.bas.bg

Abstract

This note is a continuation of the paper [2] (see references). We describe some natural pseudogroup structures on almost complex manifolds of type m . A kind of coherency is discussed for the sheaf of almost holomorphic functions.

1 Recall of definitions

Let (M, J) be an almost complex manifold equipped with a smooth coordinates (x^j) , $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$. In [1] complex self-conjugate coordinates $(z^j, \bar{z}^j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are used, where $z^j = x^{2j-1} + ix^{2j}$ and $\bar{z}^j = x^{2j-1} - ix^{2j}$. A function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, where U is an open subset of M , is called almost holomorphic if $J^*df = idf$. Here by J^* the action of J on differential forms of M is denoted, i.e. $J^*(\omega)X := \omega(X)$, where ω is a differential form on M , and X is a vector field on M .

Let m be an integer, $m \leq n$. We say that a local Spencer coordinate system is defined on (M, J) , iff

- (1) there exist an open subset U of M and m different functionally independent almost holomorphic functions $f_j : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, such that
- (2) the sequence $f_1, \dots, f_m$ is a maximal sequence of functionally independent on U almost-holomorphic functions
- (3) the sequence $(U, f_1, \dots, f_m, z^{m+1}, \dots, z^n, \bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_m, \bar{z}^{m+1}, \dots, \bar{z}^n)$ determines a local self-conjugate coordinate system on (M, J) .

The condition (3) can be reformulate in terms of smooth coordinates as follows:

- (3') The sequence $(U, \operatorname{Re} f_1, \operatorname{Im} f_1, \dots, \operatorname{Re} f_m, \operatorname{Im} f_m, x^{m+1}, y^{m+1}, \dots, x^{2n}, y^{2n})$ is a local coordinate system in smooth coordinates on (M, J) .

Setting $u_j = \operatorname{Re} f_j$, $v_j = \operatorname{Im} f_j$, we have $dv_j = J^*du_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. These are Cauchy-Riemann equations for f_j .

Clearly, each Spencer coordinate system on M defines a diffeomorphism of U in $\mathbf{C}^m \times \overline{\mathbf{C}}^m$, or equivalently in $\mathbf{R}^{2m}$. Complexifying the first $2m$ coordinate we can take $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{R}^{2n-2m}$, i.e. $\mathbf{R}^{2n} \equiv \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{R}^{2n-2m}$.

We shall consider the smooth submersion $\mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^m$ defined as a composition of the above mentioned diffeomorphism of U on $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ and the projection of

$\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ on $\mathbf{C}^m$

$$(f_1, \dots, f_m, z^{m+1}, \dots, z^n, \bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_m, \bar{z}^{m+1}, \dots, \bar{z}^n) \rightarrow (f_1, \dots, f_m) .$$

This composition is denoted by f_U [more precisely by $(f_1, \dots, f_m)_U$] and the image of U by f_U is denoted by U^c_m .

As it was proved in [2], each almost-holomorphic function $h : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a superposition of a holomorphic function $H : U^c_m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and the almost-holomorphic functions f_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$, i.e. $h = H(f_1, \dots, f_m)$, where $H = H(w_1, \dots, w_m) \in \mathbf{O}(U^c_m)$.

It was proved also that for each two systems of almost-holomorphic functions $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ and $(g_1, \dots, g_m)$ defined on $U \cap V$, there exists a bijective holomorphic transition mapping between the corresponding Spencer coordinate systems.

As a consequence one obtain a theorem formulated by spencer [1], namely

Theorem. On each paracompact almost-complex manifold (M, J) of constant Spencer type m there exists a locally finite covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ constituted by self-conjugate Spencer's coordinate systems $(U_\alpha, w^j_\alpha, \dots)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, such that on every intersection $U_\alpha \cap V_\beta$ the Spencer coordinates (w^j_α) change biholomorphically in the other Spencer's coordinates (w^j_β) .

2 Pseudogroup structures

By X a topological space is denoted, respectively differentiable manifold ($X = M$), or vector space ($X = \mathbf{R}^n$, $X = \mathbf{C}^n$). We recall the notion of pseudogroup Γ of local homeomorphisms of X , respectively - local diffeomorphisms of M , or local biholomorphisms of $\mathbf{C}^m$. Let U e an open subset of X , respectively of M , or of $\mathbf{C}^m$, and $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$ be a local mapping (homeomorphism, diffeomorphism, biholomorphism). The open set U is called the source of φ , and the image of $\varphi(U)$ (which is also an open set in X) is called a target of φ .

A family of local mappings $\{(U, \varphi) : U \text{ varying in a part of the set of open subsets of } X\}$ is by definition a pseudogroup of local mappings (homeomorphisms, diffeomorphisms, biholomorphisms) if the following axioms are valid:

- (1) If $(U, \varphi), (V, \psi) \in \Gamma$ and $\varphi(V) \subset U$, then $(V, \varphi \circ \psi) \in \Gamma$,
- (2) If $(U, \varphi) \in \Gamma$, and $V = \varphi(U)$, then $(V, \varphi^{-1}) \in \Gamma$,
- (3) If $(U, \varphi) \in \Gamma$, and $V \subset U$, then $(V, \varphi|_V)$ (the restriction remains in Γ),
- (4) If $(U, \varphi) \in \Gamma$ and every point of U admits a neighborhood on which the restriction of φ is in Γ , then φ is in Γ ,
- (5) The restriction of the identity on every source of an element of Γ is in Γ .

Let (X, Γ_X) be a topological space X equipped with a pseudogroup of local homeomorphisms Γ_X , and (Y, Γ_Y) is another topological space equipped with a pseudogroup of local homeomorphisms Γ_Y . We say that (X, Γ_X) is defined over (Y, Γ_Y) if for every source U of an element of Γ_X , $(U, \varphi) \in \Gamma_X$, there exists continuous mappings $f_U, f_{\varphi(X)}$ and ψ such that the following diagram should be commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \varphi(U) \\
\downarrow f_U & & \downarrow f_{\varphi(U)} , \\
V & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \psi(V)
\end{array}$$

where $V = f_U(U)$ is a source of $(V, \psi) \in \Gamma_Y$ and $\psi(V) = f_{\varphi(U)}(\varphi(U))$, i.e.

$$f_{\varphi(U)} \circ \varphi = \psi \circ f_U .$$

By $\Gamma_d(M)$ the transitive pseudogroup of all local diffeomorphisms of the differentiable manifold M is denoted. In the case M is an almost-complex manifold, (M, J) , we shall consider local almost-holomorphic diffeomorphisms of M , i.e. the diffeomorphisms $f : U \rightarrow M$, U being an open subset of M , and f satisfying the condition

$$f_* \circ J = J \circ f_* ,$$

where f_* is the tangent mapping (the differential) of the mapping f .

The minimal transitive pseudogroup of local almost-holomorphic mappings will be denoted $\Gamma_{ahd}(M)$. This pseudogroup is a subpseudogroup of $\Gamma_d(M)$. It is to recall here that the composition of two almost-holomorphic mappings is also an almost-holomorphic mapping where it is defined. The same is true for the inverse of an almost-holomorphic mapping.

Accordingly to the theorem formulated in previous paragraph, we shall consider all local almost-holomorphic diffeomorphisms $\Phi_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\beta$, and corresponding biholomorphisms $\varphi_{\alpha\beta} : (U_\alpha)^c_m \rightarrow (U_\beta)^c_m$ in $\mathbf{C}^m$, where $\{U_\alpha\}$ are the sources of local Spencer coordinates systems. The family $\{\Phi_{\alpha\beta}\}$ generates a subpseudogroup of $\Gamma_{ahd}(M)$ which will be denoted by $\Gamma_{spd}(M)$. Denoting by $\Gamma_h(\mathbf{C}^m)$ the pseudogroup of all local biholomorphisms in $\mathbf{C}^m$, we consider the family $\{\varphi_{\alpha\beta}\}$ and the generated subpseudogroup of $\Gamma_h(\mathbf{C}^m)$ which will be denoted by $\Gamma_{sph}(\mathbf{C}^m)$. The pseudogroup $\Gamma_{spd}(M)$ is over the pseudogroup $\Gamma_{sph}(\mathbf{C}^m)$ according to the above introduced definition. The pseudogroups $\Gamma_{spd}(M)$ and $\Gamma_{sph}(\mathbf{C}^m)$ are called Spencer pseudogroup of the almost complex manifold (M, J) of type m . This means that for every $\Phi : U \rightarrow V$, $(U, V) \in \Gamma_{spd}(M)$, we have $f_V \circ \Phi = \varphi \circ f_U$, where $(U^c_m, \varphi) \in \Gamma_{sph}(\mathbf{C}^m)$ and also $\Phi_* \circ J = J \circ \Phi_*$. The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & V \\
\downarrow f_U & & \downarrow f_V . \\
U^c_m & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & V^c_m
\end{array}$$

3 Γ – manifolds, integrability of (M, J)

Let Γ be a pseudogroup of local diffeomorphisms of one differentiable manifold M . It is said that a Γ -structure on the manifold M is defined if an atlas

of local coordinates systems is introduced in such a way that all transition transformations between them to belong to the pseudogroup Γ .

Let (M, J) be an almost-complex manifold of type m . We shall consider the corresponding Spencer pseudogroups $\Gamma_{spd}(M)$ and $\Gamma_{sph}(\mathbf{C}^m)$. Let us suppose that N is an orientable differentiable $(2m)$ -manifold equipped with a $\Gamma_{sph}(\mathbf{C}^m)$ -structure. We remark that the problem of the existence of Γ -structures on a given manifold, especially $\Gamma_{sph}(\mathbf{C}^m)$ -structures, is a difficult problem.

Denoting $f = \{f_U\}$ as the family of all local m -projections $f_U : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^m$ defined by almost-holomorphic coordinates $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$, we obtain the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \equiv & M \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow F \\ \mathbf{C}^m & \xleftarrow[\text{atlas}]{\theta} & N \end{array},$$

where F is defined locally as follows:

$$F(U) = \theta^{-1} \circ f(U),$$

U being an open subset of M .

In the case $m = n$ [M is a $(2n)$ -manifold] the $\Gamma_{sph}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ -structure on N is a structure of a complex manifold, and F is a diffeomorphism. So the almost complex manifold (M, J) is diffeomorphic to a complex manifold N . Taking $N = \mathbf{C}^n$ as differentiable manifold, we obtain that $\Gamma_{sph}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ defines an atlas of biholomorphisms on M . This implies that (M, J) is an integrable manifold.

In the case of 4-dimensional almost-complex manifold M of type $m = 1$ there are Spencer pseudogroup structures $\Gamma_{spd}(M)$ and $\Gamma_{sph}(\mathbf{C})$. The corresponding 2-dimensional manifold N , equipped with a $\Gamma_{sph}(\mathbf{C})$ must be a Riemann surface.

4 Γ -coherency

The notion of coherent sheaf is local. Having a pseudogroup Γ on a manifold M we can assign to each source U of Γ the set $\Gamma(U)$ of different Γ -objects (functions, vector fields) defined on U . The mapping $U \rightarrow \Gamma(U)$ defines a sheaf.

Our purpose is to discuss a kind of coherency on almost complex manifolds using the introduced Spencer pseudogroup structures of type m . It is not difficult to see that almost-holomorphic functions on (M, J) define a sheaf. We denote this sheaf by $\mathcal{O}_{ah}(M)$ and, respectively, by $\mathcal{O}_h(\mathbf{C}^m)$ - the sheaf of all holomorphic functions on $\mathbf{C}^m$. According to the famous Oka theorem $\mathcal{O}_h(\mathbf{C}^m)$ is a coherent sheaf, but the same is not true in general for the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_h(U)$, where U is an open subset of $\mathbf{C}^m$.

Proposition. For every source $U \in \Gamma_{spd}(M)$, the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{ah}(U)$ is a coherent sheaf of almost holomorphic functions if and only if the corresponding sheaf of holomorphic functions $\mathcal{O}_h(U^c_m)$ is finitely generated as a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_h(\mathbf{C}^m)$.

Proof. It is enough to remark that $O_{ah}(U)$ is an inverse image of $O_h(U^c_m)$. Being a finitely generated subsheaf of $O_h(\mathbf{C}^m)$ the sheaf $O_h(U^c_m)$ is a coherent sheaf too. $\square$

5 Perspectives

It seems that one can develop a deformation theory for almost complex manifolds of type m following some ideas of Donald Spencer (see [2]).

References

- [1] D. C. Spencer, *Potential theory and almost complex structures*. Kaplan (ed.). Lectures on Functions of complex Variables, Univ. of Michigan Press, 1955.
- [2] S. Dimiev, R. Lazov, N. Milev, *Spencer Manifolds*. Meeting on quaternionic structures in mathematics and physics, Rome, 1999 (to appear).
- [3] D. C. Spencer, *Deformations of structures on manifolds by transitive continuous pseudogroups*. Ann. of Math. 76 (1962), 306-445.